

# DyKEMA

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC  
SUITE 300  
39577 WOODWARD AVENUE  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MICHIGAN 48304  
WWW.DYKEMA.COM

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

AUG 17 2006

**DATE:** August 17, 2006 **TOTAL PAGES INCLUDING COVER:** 4  
**SENDER'S NAME:** John P. Guenther **LOCATION:** Bloomfield Hills  
**SENDER'S E-MAIL:** jguenther@dykema.com  
**SENDER'S PHONE:** (248) 203-0537 **SENDER'S FAX:** (248) 203-0763

NAME	FAX NUMBER	CONFIRM NUMBER
TO: Commissioner for Patents	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (571) 273-8300	

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

**CONFIRMED BY:**

**DATE:**

**TIME:**

**If you have problems receiving this message,  
please call: (248) 203-0838**

**EMPLOYEE NO. 4988**

**CLIENT NO. 65456**

**MATTER NO. 003**

\*\*\*\*\*  
To comply with U.S. Treasury regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this communication was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person (i) for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed by the Internal Revenue Service, or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any matter addressed herein.  
\*\*\*\*\*

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return original message to us at the below address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you

CALIFORNIA | ILLINOIS | MICHIGAN | WASHINGTON D.C.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 17 2006

(Reg. 1.06—4/06 Pub. 003)

FORM 9-3

9-17

Practitioner's Docket No. 065456-0003

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Naganarayana et al.  
Application No.: 10 /016,813 Group No.: 2837  
Filed: December 10, 2001 Examiner: Unassigned  
For: STRUCTURAL NOISE SOURCE PREDICTOR

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATUS INQUIRY

**WARNING:** Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of May 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001.

1. More than 6 months have passed since☒ NEW APPLICATIONSthe filing of this application on February 13, 2006 Response to restriction requirement filed

No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.

☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS

the filing of a response on \_\_\_\_\_.

No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.

☐ APPEALED APPLICATION

The Appeal Brief was filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\***  
(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;  
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

## MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 \*

☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail.☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. \_\_\_\_\_ (mandatory)

## TRANSMISSION

☒ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (571) 273-8300.

Signature

John P. Guenther

(type or print name of person certifying)

Date: 8/17/06

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.709(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission

(check and complete applicable items below)

- ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
- the mailing of FORM PQL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on \_\_\_\_\_.

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

**"NEW APPLICATION**

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

**"AMENDED APPLICATIONS**

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Reg. No.: 39,698

Tel. No.: ( 248 ) 203-0537

Customer No.: 26127

  
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

John P. Guenther

(type or print name of practitioner)

Dykema Gossett PLLC  
39577 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300

P.O. Address

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

(Rev. 10-6-06 Pub. 605)

FORM 9-3

9-19

**STATUS INQUIRY REPLY**

APPLICATION SERIAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ IS CURRENTLY

- ☐ ASSIGNED TO GROUP \_\_\_\_\_ AND AWAITS:
- ☐ ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
- ☐ APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
- ☐ DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ DECISION EXPECTED \_\_\_\_\_

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 3 of 3)